DMCCB#60 (2020 - 08 - 05)

Location

Browser	Room System	Phone Dial-in
https://bluejeans.com/761546516/	1. Dial: 199.48.152.152 or bjn.vc 2. Enter Meeting ID: 761546516 -or- use the pairing code	Dial-in numbers: - +1 408 740 7256 - +1 888 240 2560 (US Toll-Free) - +1 408 317 9253 (Alternate Number) Meeting ID: 761546516

(Back to the DMCCB page)

Time

From 9.00 to 09.30 PT, Wednesday, August the 5th.

Attendees

- Tim Jenness
- John Swinbank
- Wil O'Mullane
- Unknown User (gcomoretto)
- Colin Slater
- Unknown User (mbutler)
- Leanne Guy
- Kian-Tat Lim

Regrets

- TBD

DMCCB Meeting Goals

- See DMCCB responsibilities listed in LDM-294 section 7.4

DMCCB Additional Resources

- #dm-ccb slack channel

Discussion Items

Item	Description	CCB Notes

Flagged RFCs
 	
(To be approved by the DMCCB)
	- ⚠ RFC-713 - Jira project doesn't exist or you don't have permission to view it.
	
	  Planned end: Jul/31/2020
	
	- ⚠ RFC-711 - Jira project doesn't exist or you don't have permission to view it.
	
	  Planned end Jul/31/2020
	
	- ⚠ RFC-710 - Jira project doesn't exist or you don't have permission to view it.
	
	  Planned end Jul/28/2020
	
	- ⚠ RFC-709 - Jira project doesn't exist or you don't have permission to view it.
	
	  Planned end Jul/24/2020
	
	- ⚠ RFC-708 - Jira project doesn't exist or you don't have permission to view it.
	
	  Planned end Jul/24/2020
	
	- ⚠ RFC-699 - Jira project doesn't exist or you don't have permission to view it.
	
	  Planned end: Jun/03/2020
	
	- ⚠ RFC-695 - Jira project doesn't exist or you don't have permission to view it.
	
	  Planned end: Aug/31/2020
		- RFC-713: it should just be a procedural thing to approve the test cases already executed
		- RFC-711: no comments, the outcome seems to keep the document, but add an obsolete flag on top of it
		  - CCB agreed to board recommend it. Tim Jenness commented.
		- RFC-710/RFC-709: Leanne is preparing the LCR. CCB should approve the RFCs before the LCR is submitted.
		  - DMS-REQ-0066 needs to be resurrected. GPFD to review the original text. Leanne Guy to ping GPDF
		  - 710 board recommend
		  - 709 waiting for GPDF
		- RFC-708:
		  - to keep it open for a couple of weeks more, until the final update from P6
		- RFC-699
		- RFC-695

Board Recommended RFCs		
Adopted but not implemented LDM RFCs (only document RFCs)		

| Proposed RFCs (to review, do not require DMCCB approval) | ⚠ RFC-720 - Jira project doesn't exist or you don't have permission to view it.

Planned end: Aug/5/2020

⚠ RFC-719 - Jira project doesn't exist or you don't have permission to view it.

Planned end: Aug/6/2020

⚠ RFC-718 - Jira project doesn't exist or you don't have permission to view it.

Planned end: Aug/7/2020

⚠ RFC-712 - Jira project doesn't exist or you don't have permission to view it.

Planned end: Jul/31/2020

⚠ RFC-703 - Jira project doesn't exist or you don't have permission to view it.

Planned end: Jun/30/2020

⚠ RFC-697 - Jira project doesn't exist or you don't have permission to view it.

Planned end: June/11/2020

⚠ RFC-638 - Jira project doesn't exist or you don't have permission to view it.

Planned end: Jun/7/2021 | • RFC-720: see AOB point.
  ○ Tim Jenness baseline environment needs to be approved by the DMCCB
  ○ see discussion RFC-537
    ■ Kian-Tat Lim this is still valid, even if the stab packages are conda packages now
  ○ The problem is when a pkg is added to the env, existing pkgs will change their version, in order to keep consistency in the environment.
    ■ this pull out a set of differences that need to be approved by the DMCCB
    ■ Kian-Tat Lim suggests that a new RFC for this is not required, since this is the result of an impact analysis
• Tim Jenness Arch team, before moving the RFC to board recommend, need to provide the changes that a new package is generating in the environment. This will permit the CCB to decide if an RFC introducing a new package can be approved or not
• DMCCB agreed to board recommend RFC-720
• John Swinbank asked if we should check if the env change breaks the external user code
  ○ Tim Jenness no, people usually pick a weekly and stick to it for months
  ○ Colin Slater this is acceptable if it happens not so often
  ○ Tim Jenness due to the use of conda-forge, we can't do puctual updates
  ○ Kian-Tat Lim the idea is to move the environment in a conda metapackage, and this probably makes possible to pin back some package in the the environemtn
  ○ Wil O'Mullane CCB needs to be aware that somebody may be affected when other packages changes
• RFC-719, is not under the radar of the DMCCB
• RFC-718
  ○ this requires a new Jenkins job
• RFC-712, KT to look at it
• RFC-703 to move end date to Aug30 |
| Adopted RFCs without Triggering issues (to create implementing DM issues) | ⚠ RFC-714 - Jira project doesn't exist or you don't have permission to view it. | |

Adopted RFCs with all triggered work COMPLETED (to set status as 'IMPLEMENTED')		
RFCs adopted since last CCB (to review, no action required)	⚠️ RFC-715 - Jira project doesn't exist or you don't have permission to view it. ⚠️ RFC-714 - Jira project doesn't exist or you don't have permission to view it. ⚠️ RFC-717 - Jira project doesn't exist or you don't have permission to view it. ⚠️ RFC-716 - Jira project doesn't exist or you don't have permission to view it.	
RFCs implemented (or withdraw) since last CCB (to review, no action required)	⚠️ RFC-717 - Jira project doesn't exist or you don't have permission to view it.	
Releases - Planned - Changes to the release schedule	- Next planned Release 21.0.0 - Tentative schedule: November 2020	

Monitor Jira issues status: • the most forgotten 10 DM issues ○ (resolution = Unresolved ORDER by updated asc)	Support to J. Swinbank monitoring activity.	Preassess before the CCB starts • DM-9418 — reassigned to Architecture team. • DM-2351 — needs a comment from Architecture. • DM-9469 — needs a comment from the SUIT team. • DM-9393 — added comment.

Support to J. Swinbank monitoring activity.

1. ⚠ DM-9418 - Jira project doesn't exist or you don't have permission to view it.

2. ⚠ DM-2351 - Jira project doesn't exist or you don't have permission to view it.

3. ⚠ DM-9442 - Jira project doesn't exist or you don't have permission to view it.

4. ⚠ DM-9444 - Jira project doesn't exist or you don't have permission to view it.

5. ⚠ DM-9445 - Jira project doesn't exist or you don't have permission to view it.

6. ⚠ DM-9399 - Jira project doesn't exist or you don't have permission to view it.

7. ⚠ DM-9436 - Jira project doesn't exist or you don't have permission to view it.

8. ⚠ DM-9454 - Jira project doesn't exist or you don't have permission to view it.

9. ⚠ DM-9469 - Jira project doesn't exist or you don't have permission to view it.

10. ⚠ DM-9393 - Jira project doesn't exist or you don't have permission to view it.

3905 unresolved issues (3887 on July the29th)

Preassess before the CCB starts

- DM-9418 — reassigned to Architecture team.
- DM-2351 — needs a comment from Architecture.
- DM-9469 — needs a comment from the SUIT team.
- DM-9393 — added comment.

During CCB:

- DM-9469
  - ☑ Unknown User (gcomoretto) to ping Gregory to add a comment to DM-9469
- DM-2351
  - ☑ Kian-Tat Lim to comment and follow up on DM-2351

Open Actions		
AOB	John Swinbank : Should changes to the scipipe_conda_env require an RFC? My reading of RFC-537 is that they should (although that RFC has only been adopted, not implemented). Does that still hold in the new Conda regime?	discussed above with RFC-720
Next DM-CCB	August the 19th (next ween PCW)	

Pending Flagged RFCs

key	summary

⚠ Jira project doesn't exist or you don't have permission to view it.

View these issues in Jira

Pending Proposed RFCs

key	summary

⚠ Jira project doesn't exist or you don't have permission to view it.

View these issues in Jira

Oldest issues

key	summary

⚠ Jira project doesn't exist or you don't have permission to view it.

View these issues in Jira

Meeting outcome

Pending DMCCB Actions

Task report

Looking good, no incomplete tasks.