# **Handling Git Push Problems**

Problem recap: you work on a ticket, commit, and attempt to push, only to be greeted with:

### Try #1

```
$ git push
....
To /Users/mjuric/projects/tmp/meas_algorithms
    de7c4d7..849f074 tickets/1831 -> tickets/1831
! [rejected] master -> master (non-fast-forward)
error: failed to push some refs to '/tmp/meas_algorithms'
To prevent you from losing history, non-fast-forward updates were
rejected. Merge the remote changes (e.g. 'git pull') before pushing
again. See the 'Note about fast-forwards' section of 'git push --help'
for details.
```

Then, as the error message says, you try a git pull:

# Try #2 \$ git pull Already up-to-date. \$ git status # On branch tickets/1831 nothing to commit (working directory clean)

# So try git push again, but:

# Try #3

```
$ git push
To /Users/mjuric/projects/tmp/meas_algorithms
 ! [rejected] master -> master (non-fast-forward)
error: failed to push some refs to
 '/Users/mjuric/projects/tmp/meas_algorithms'
To prevent you from losing history, non-fast-forward updates were
rejected. Merge the remote changes (e.g. 'git pull') before pushing
again. See the 'Note about fast-forwards' section of 'git push --help'
for details.
```

and you are left utterly confused (especially if you haven't touched the master at all).

The problem occurs because of how git push/pull work, combined with the poorly chosen default behavior of git push, compounded by the misleading (incomplete) error message.

By default, git push attempts to sync *all* branches upstream, not just the current branch. Here "sync" means "upload all necessary changes, and make the tip of the remote branch the same as the tip of the local branch." Secondly, this is *not* atomic -- some branches may sync successfully, others may fail. That is what happens in #1 above. The syncing of tickets/1831 succeeds, but the syncing of master doesn't. That's why in #3 there is no message about tickets/1831 any more.

The sync of master failed because someone else has pushed a new commit to the remote:

Remote	Local
master:	master:
D	
ć	С
Ĩ	Ī
B	B
Ĩ	Ĩ
	1
A	A

As git translates your git push quite literally as 'Do everything necessary to make the commit C the tip of the remote master', it refuses to do so as there's no fast-forward path from D to C. And that is what it (tersely) reports.

So while git advises you to do git pull, it does not warn you that git pull checks only the current branch. That is why in # 2 above, git pull will say that everything is up to date (when the master clearly isn't), because git push has succeeded for tickets/1831 in # 1.

So there's a subtle, annoying asymmetry between git pull and git push. Knowing all that, the workaround is easy:

```
$ git checkout master
Switched to branch 'master'
Your branch is behind 'origin/master' by 4 commits, and can be
fast-forwarded.
$ git pull
Updating d9ael0d..eedb85f
Fast-forward
python/lsst/meas/algorithms/utils.py | 12 ++++++-----
src/shapes/SdssShape.cc | 20 +++++++++-----
2 files changed, 19 insertions(+), 13 deletions(-)
$ git push
Everything up-to-date
```

Or, just ignore the git push error message for branches you're not working on.

Can anything be done about this? The root cause of the problem is the unexpected asymmetry of git pull and git push. The former syncs down only the current branch, while the latter attempts to sync up all branches. Everything would be much better if either

- git pull fetched+merged all branches or
- git push would push only the current branch upstream

The former cannot be done. The latter can be:

```
git config --global push.default tracking
```

Note: --global will set this as default for all repos that you work with on that account/machine.

### So if we try it now:

Now there are no more annoying messages about branches we're not working on (master). Voilà!

The downside is that now you have to manually push each branch upstream, but, realistically, this \*is\* what one wants 99.99% of the time. It's unclearn why this isn't the default; perhaps it's related to history and backwards compatibility.

Helpful on-line references:

- http://longair.net/blog/2011/02/27/an-asymmetry-between-git-pull-and-git-push/
- http://stackoverflow.com/questions/832222/why-is-git-pushing-to-two-branches-in-this-git-push