

DMCCB#7 (2019 - 03 - 06)

Location

Browser	Room System	Phone Dial-in
https://bluejeans.com/761546516/	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Dial: 199.48.152.152 or bjn.vc2. Enter Meeting ID: 761546516 -or- use the pairing code	Dial-in numbers: <ul style="list-style-type: none">• +1 408 740 7256• +1 888 240 2560 (US Toll-Free)• +1 408 317 9253 (Alternate Number) Meeting ID: 761546516

(Back to the [DMCCB](#) page)

Time

From 9.00 to 09.30 PT, Wednesday, March the 6th.

Attendees

- [Wil O'Mullane](#)
- [Tim Jenness](#)
- [Leanne Guy](#)
- [Kian-Tat Lim](#)
- [Unknown User \(gcomoretto\)](#)
- [Colin Slater](#)
- [Unknown User \(mbutler\)](#)

Regrets

- [John Swinbank](#)

DMCCB Meeting Goals

- See DMCCB responsibilities listed in [LDM-294](#) section 7.4

Discussion Items

Item	Description	CCB Notes
------	-------------	-----------

<p>Flagged RFCs</p> <p>(To be approved by the DMCCB)</p>	<div data-bbox="350 138 820 348" style="border: 1px solid orange; padding: 5px; margin-bottom: 10px;">  RFC-575 - Jira project doesn't exist or you don't have permission to view it. </div> <div data-bbox="350 369 820 579" style="border: 1px solid orange; padding: 5px; margin-bottom: 10px;">  RFC-579 - Jira project doesn't exist or you don't have permission to view it. </div> <div data-bbox="350 600 820 810" style="border: 1px solid orange; padding: 5px;">  RFC-581 - Jira project doesn't exist or you don't have permission to view it. </div>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • RFC-575: DMCCB approve it and it is moved to "Board Recommend" • RFC-579: changes are highlighted in red <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◦ Changed to "Board Recommend" • RFC-581: to be decided next week
<p>Proposed RFCs</p> <p>(to review, do not require DMCCB approval)</p>	<div data-bbox="350 831 820 1041" style="border: 1px solid orange; padding: 5px;">  RFC-578 - Jira project doesn't exist or you don't have permission to view it. </div>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No action to be taken from the DMCCB
<p>Adopted RFCs without Triggering issues</p> <p>(to create implementing DM issues)</p>	<p>None</p>	
<p>Adopted RFCs with all triggered work COMPLETED</p> <p>(to set status as 'IMPLEMENTED')</p>	<div data-bbox="350 1245 820 1455" style="border: 1px solid orange; padding: 5px;">  RFC-571 - Jira project doesn't exist or you don't have permission to view it. </div>	

RFCs adopted since last CCB
(to review, no action required)

 RFC-576 - Jira project doesn't exist or you don't have permission to view it.

 RFC-577 - Jira project doesn't exist or you don't have permission to view it.

 RFC-573 - Jira project doesn't exist or you don't have permission to view it.

RFCs implemented since last CCB
(to review, no action required)

 RFC-555 - Jira project doesn't exist or you don't have permission to view it.

 RFC-559 - Jira project doesn't exist or you don't have permission to view it.

 RFC-558 - Jira project doesn't exist or you don't have permission to view it.

<p>Changes to the planned releases</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • New patch release based on 17.0 major release required: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◦  RFC-580 - Jira project doesn't exist or you don't have permission to view it. • Question from John Swinbank : do we maintain 6 month schedule release or do we switch to releases based on functionality availability? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◦ In practice: when will be science pipelines release 18? 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 17.0 done <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◦ pipelines.lsst.io need to be updated with 17.0 information ◦ Community post done today, linking to the 17.0.x branch documentation and updated when JSick will update pipelines.lsst.io • 17.0.1: approved, RFC set to "Board Recommend". <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Unknown User (gcomoretto) to create the patch release 17.0.1 implementation issue, backport the change and work with Jonathan Sick to include this information in the release note. • Next release 18: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◦ in 3 months time (June 2019) ◦ WOM: would like to see releases based on features ◦ Leanne: community also would like to see feature base release ◦ Feature information is provided to T/CAMs from P6 and scientists; these are recorded in Jira as epics ◦ What is missing is to relate these epics to a planned release <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Unknown User (gcomoretto) try to find a way to map existing epics to planned releases
<p>Monitor Jira issues status:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • the most forgotten 10 DM issues <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◦ (resolution = Unresolved ORDER by updated asc) 	<p>Support to J. Swinbank monitoring activity</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1.  DM-2393 - Jira project doesn't exist or you don't have permission to view it. 2.  DM-2790 - Jira project doesn't exist or you don't have permission to view it. 3.  DM-2826 - Jira project doesn't exist or you don't have permission to view it. 4.  DM-2824 - Jira project doesn't exist or you don't have permission to view it. 5.  DM-2820 - Jira project doesn't exist or you don't have permission to view it. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Unknown User (gcomoretto) to send a query to Jeff Kantor to identify network oldest issues <p>All 10 issues have been reviewed during the CCB.</p>

6.

 [DM-2589](#) - Jira project
doesn't exist or you don't have
permission to view it.

7.

 [DM-2587](#) - Jira project
doesn't exist or you don't have
permission to view it.

8.

 [DM-2563](#) - Jira project
doesn't exist or you don't have
permission to view it.

9.

 [DM-2810](#) - Jira project
doesn't exist or you don't have
permission to view it.

10.

 [DM-2819](#) - Jira project
doesn't exist or you don't have
permission to view it.

3746 unresolved issues (3674 on Feb. the 20th)

AOB	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Should the DMCCB not be considering the RFC _after_ the triggered tickets have been created, rather than before? 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> AOB on RFC moved to next DM-CCB LDM-635 draft in docushare need to be sent out for review <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Kian-Tat LimUnknown User (mbutler) to look at LDM-635 draft on docushare Semantic Versioning 2.0 <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Tim Jenness would like to apply it to each GitHub packages, it will simplify the dependencies management <ul style="list-style-type: none"> This requires lot of work and has impacts on the build tools, continuous integration tools, releases, etc Everybody agree that SemVer is the direction to move An intermediate solution is to apply SemVer to to metapackages, that group together a smaller number of GithHub packages. This requires: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a proposal written down <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Unknown User (gcomoretto) to draft a DMTN how to work with multiple metapackages depending one from the other do some experimentation to see how it works <ul style="list-style-type: none"> to do after conda env management has been clarified. See <div data-bbox="976 659 1484 869" style="border: 1px solid orange; padding: 10px; margin-top: 10px;"> <p> DM-16567 - Jira project doesn't exist or you don't have permission to view it.</p> </div>
-----	--	--

Pending Flagged RFCs

key summary

 Jira project doesn't exist or you don't have permission to view it.

[View these issues in Jira](#)

Pending Proposed RFCs

key summary

 Jira project doesn't exist or you don't have permission to view it.

[View these issues in Jira](#)

Oldest issues

key summary



Jira project doesn't exist or you don't have permission to view it.

[View these issues in Jira](#)

Meeting outcome

Pending DMCCB Actions

Description	Due date	Assignee	Task appears on
<input type="checkbox"/> Frossie Economou use weekly 16 as recommended 25 Apr 2024	25 Apr 2024	Frossie Economou	DMCCB#197 (2024 - 04 - 24)