

DMCCB#9 (2019 - 03 - 27)

Location

Browser	Room System	Phone Dial-in
https://bluejeans.com/761546516/	1. Dial: 199.48.152.152 or bjn.vc 2. Enter Meeting ID: 761546516 -or- use the pairing code	Dial-in numbers: <ul style="list-style-type: none">• +1 408 740 7256• +1 888 240 2560 (US Toll-Free)• +1 408 317 9253 (Alternate Number) Meeting ID: 761546516

(Back to the [DMCCB](#) page)

Time

From 9.00 to 09.30 PT, Wednesday, March the 27th.

Attendees

- [John Swinbank](#)
- [Unknown User \(mbutler\)](#)
- [Kian-Tat Lim](#)
- [Unknown User \(gcomoretto\)](#)
- [Colin Slater](#)

Regrets

- [Leanne Guy](#) (PST)
- [Wil O'Mullane](#)

DMCCB Meeting Goals

- See DMCCB responsibilities listed in [LDM-294](#) section 7.4

Discussion Items

Item	Description	CCB Notes
------	-------------	-----------

Flagged RFCs

(To be approved by the DMCCB)



[RFC-581](#) - Jira project doesn't exist or you don't have permission to view it.



[RFC-584](#) - Jira project doesn't exist or you don't have permission to view it.




[RFC-586](#) - Jira project doesn't exist or you don't have permission to view it.



[RFC-588](#) - Jira project doesn't exist or you don't have permission to view it.

- RFC-581: [Kian-Tat Lim](#) still need to do some cleaning
- RFC-584:
 - Everybody agree with the actual environment upgrade, RFC set to "Board Recommend"
 - [John Swinbank](#) suggest to use the conda env as source of true
 - [Tim Jenness](#) conda environment need to be RFC before changes, but including (EUPS) 3rd party package, that now change quite a lot, this requires a quicker approach
 - Minor updates on some packages could be done without explicit CCB approval
- ☒ Tim Jenness to announce the new conda env when available
- RFC-586:
 - ☒ Unknown User (gcomoretto) Once Gregory Dubois-Felsmann approves the RFC-586, change the status to "Board Recommend" and upload it to docushare
- RFC-588: board approve it, status set to "Board Recommend"

<p>Proposed RFCs</p> <p>(to review, do not require DMCCB approval)</p>	<div>  RFC-583 - Jira project doesn't exist or you don't have permission to view it. </div> <div>  RFC-585 - Jira project doesn't exist or you don't have permission to view it. </div> <div>  RFC-587 - Jira project doesn't exist or you don't have permission to view it. </div>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • RFC-583 shall be withdraw, once the new conda env is out, everybody can use the numba package at the latest version <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Unknown User (gcomoretto) to check that numba install in the new conda environment (RFC-584, in linux) and comment on RFC-583 • RFC-587: John Swinbank point out that this RFC need to escalate, status set to flagged <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Unknown User (gcomoretto) to set RFC-587 status to "Board Recommend" if nobody comments 29 Mar 2019 • RFC-585: no actions to be taken by the board
<p>Adopted RFCs without Triggering issues</p> <p>(to create implementing DM issues)</p>	None	
<p>Adopted RFCs with all triggered work COMPLETED</p> <p>(to set status as 'IMPLEMENTED')</p>	None	
<p>RFCs adopted since last CCB</p> <p>(to review, no action required)</p>	<div>  RFC-582 - Jira project doesn't exist or you don't have permission to view it. </div>	

<p>RFCs implemented since last CCB</p> <p>(to review, no action required)</p>	<div>  RFC-580 - Jira project doesn't exist or you don't have permission to view it. </div> <div>  RFC-579 - Jira project doesn't exist or you don't have permission to view it. </div> <div>  RFC-582 - Jira project doesn't exist or you don't have permission to view it. </div>	
<p>Changes to the planned releases</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 18.0 Release to be planned 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> John Swinbank commented that previous minutes is confusing: do 18.0 in 3 months but at the same time we go on feature releases <ul style="list-style-type: none"> this is because we still had no time to plan releases based on features. Kian-Tat Lim commented that feature based releases should be more frequent, instead of less. Tim Jenness says we are already delaying releases, in order to get feature in it, this means we are already doing releases based on feature. John Swinbank would like to see a document that describes the policies behind releases: Leannes document should be that document (LDM-672) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> John Swinbank suggestion is to have a release each 3 months until LDM-672 is not ready. This is in line with the plan to do 18.0 3 months after 17.0
<p>Monitor Jira issues status:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> the most forgotten 10 DM issues <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (resolution = Unresolved ORDER by updated asc) 	<p>Support to J. Swinbank monitoring activity</p> <div>1.</div> <div>  DM-2369 - Jira project doesn't exist or you don't have permission to view it. </div>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Pre-meeting comments from John Swinbank : <ul style="list-style-type: none"> DM-2369 and DM-2368 are valid issues but with a relatively low priority. 2368 is blocked by <div>  DM-1014 - Jira project doesn't exist or you don't have permission to view it. </div> , which requires action from Robert Lupton, but is unlikely to be a high priority for him & the SST. DM-932 is a configuration system issue, which is nominally a DAX problem so I've assigned it appropriately. However, this issue seems low priority, and I do not expect them to work on it soon. I closed all the other issues before the meeting.

2.

 [DM-2368](#)

- Jira project
doesn't exist or
you don't have
permission to
view it.

3.

 [DM-2220](#)

- Jira project
doesn't exist or
you don't have
permission to
view it.

4.

 [DM-1970](#)

- Jira project
doesn't exist or
you don't have
permission to
view it.

5.

 [DM-1681](#)

- Jira project
doesn't exist or
you don't have
permission to
view it.

6.


 [DM-1686](#)

- Jira project
doesn't exist or
you don't have
permission to
view it.

	<div>7.<div> DM-1689 - Jira project doesn't exist or you don't have permission to view it.</div></div> <div>8.<div> DM-932 - Jira project doesn't exist or you don't have permission to view it.</div></div> <div>9.<div> DM-1401 - Jira project doesn't exist or you don't have permission to view it.</div></div> <div>10.<div> DM-820 - Jira project doesn't exist or you don't have permission to view it.</div></div> <p>3827 unresolved issues (3819 on March. the 20th)</p>	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Should the DMCCB not be considering the RFC _after_ the triggered tickets have been created, rather than before?	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Having related issues is already a way to document the RFC proposal<ul style="list-style-type: none">◦ After a short discussion, everybody agree that the board can ask for triggered issues to be open before approving the RFC<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Tim Jenness to clarify in the Developer Guide that the DM-CCB can ask triggered issues can be opened on an RFC before approving it.

Pending Flagged RFCs


key	summary
-----	---------



[View these issues in Jira](#)

Pending Proposed RFCs


summary



[View these issues in Jira](#)

Oldest issues

summary



[View these issues in Jira](#)

Meeting outcome

Pending DMCCB Actions

Description	Due date	Assignee	Task appears on
<input type="checkbox"/> Frossie Economou use weekly 16 as recommended 25 Apr 2024	25 Apr 2024	Frossie Economou	DMCCB#197 (2024 - 04 - 14)