

Status of Calibrations

Robert Lupton, Princeton University LSST Pipeline/Calibration Scientist





Željko's Questions



- Status update for your distributed teams work
- Overall dev plan for the next year
- Whether the teams velocity over last half a year is consistent with that plan
- Plans for documents
 - technical design docs
 - · docs to communicate to our stake holders
- Plans for finalizing schema for calibration quantities
- What is the plan for ingesting Gaia's GDR2?
- Are you really pro tem?



Scope of Calibration Effort



There's a lot of scope in "Calibration Products"

- Understanding the camera[s]
 - writing obs_ctio0m9, obs_ts8, obs_comcam, obs_lsstCam
 - characterising the camera once it's on the mountain
- Processing auxTel data to recover atmospheric absorption
- Generating calibration products
 - Processing CBP data
- Preparing to calibrate photometry:
 - Propagating atmospheric and instrumental Q_E curves into the photometry
 - Global calibration ("Übercal")



Staffing



Available effort:

- 1.00 Merlin
- 1.00 Augustin
- 0.49 Eli
- 0.0x RHL
- ?.?? Sophie



Status update



Progress has been painfully slow.

- We are ingesting data at NCSA
 - monocam
 - CTIO 0.9m (ctio0m9)
 - test-stand (TS) data (ts8)
- Running EOTest scripts
 - almost done; very painful due to the camera team ignoring almost everything DM is doing



Status update



Progress has been painfully slow.

- We are ingesting data at NCSA
 - monocam
 - CTIO 0.9m (ctio0m9)
 - test-stand (TS) data (ts8)
- Running EOTest scripts
 - almost done; very painful due to the camera team ignoring almost everything DM is doing
 - The blame lies on both sides, and at project level
- Slow progress on extracting Ronchi-grating slitless spectra
- FGCM is running on HSC data



Technical design docs



I believe that the design I did, and Merlin transferred to LDM-151, is at an appropriate level.

There are some technical updates that I should make (e.g. reflattening images to flat νF_{ν}), but not at the DMLT level.



Stake holders docs



This is the LSE-180 question.



Stake holders docs



This is the LSE-180 question. The documents mentioned in the previous slide should be complete and reasonably accessible. If people need it clarified I can do that in response to specific queries. If someone wants to flesh it out they are welcome and I'll happily answer questions.



Finalizing Calibration Schema

I believe that working on this is premature. We have a plan for the work that needs to be done, but I don't think we need a detailed design before starting to think about the implemention.



Finalizing Calibration Schema

I believe that working on this is premature. We have a plan for the work that needs to be done, but I don't think we need a detailed design before starting to think about the implemention.

For example, we are currently prototyping representions of the HSC filter's spatial structure using *FGCM*, and are prototyping filters-for-coadds with HSC.



Finalizing Calibration Schema

I believe that working on this is premature. We have a plan for the work that needs to be done, but I don't think we need a detailed design before starting to think about the implemention.

For example, we are currently prototyping representions of the HSC filter's spatial structure using *FGCM*, and are prototyping filters-for-coadds with HSC.

HSC is an excellent test system, as we have strong spatial structures in the filters and have changed filters in the course of the survey ($i \rightarrow i2$; $r \rightarrow r2$).



Ingesting Gaia DR2



I suppose we should get someone to do it (and GDR3 too) but this seems like micro-management to me at the DMLT level. Someone at UW ingested GDR1, and we need a story for doing GDR2 in April.



Are you pro tem?



I am busy. I'll do the calibration work as it needs doing, but I am not willing to take on the job of dotting *i*s and crossing *t*s (*e.g.* LSE-180). I am expecting to make sure that the system works, so in that sense I'm not just pro tem.