VERAC.RUBIN OBSERVATORY

## Readnoise vs

## Readtime Impacts

Lynne Jones, based on read noise values provided by the Aaron Roodman $\&$ Steve Ritz $\&$ the camera team


## Readnoise values

- Camera team provided 3 files containing read noise per amplifier, generated by running camera electronics at 3 different readout times
- Run $13057==2.090$ s readout
- Run $13040==2.232 \mathrm{~s}$ readout
- Run $13060==2.374$ s readout


## Calculate m5 per amplifier

- Calculate m5 values per amplifier (using Bo's code)
- Throughput curves for most components from syseng_throughputs
- QE curves per amp from butler / camera measurements
- Readnoise values per amp from test files
- Includes vignetting
- Using fiducial seeing, dark-sky, @zenith




## Five Sigma Depth variations

- Readout time change increases median depth in u band by 0.02 to 0.04 magnitudes in a $1 \times 30$ s visit
- $2 \times 15 \mathrm{~s}$ visit shows 0.07 to 0.11 mag increase




## Five Sigma Depth variations

- Readout time change increases median depth in g band by 0.02 to 0.03 magnitudes in a $2 \times 15 \mathrm{~s}$ visit




## Changes in median m5 value across all amps

- Assuming $1 \times 30$ s visits in $u$ band, $2 \times 15 \mathrm{~s}$ in other bands

| Delta M5 |  |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | 13057 | 13040 | 13060 |
| $\mathbf{u}$ | 0.0 | 0.042318 | 0.069156 |
| g | 0.0 | 0.021859 | 0.034826 |
| r | 0.0 | 0.012275 | 0.020206 |
| i | 0.0 | 0.007395 | 0.012346 |
| z | 0.0 | 0.004899 | 0.008307 |
| y | 0.0 | 0.004023 | 0.006650 |

## IQR in m5

|  | $\mathbf{1 3 0 5 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 3 0 4 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 3 0 6 0}$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| u | 0.208170 | 0.198656 | 0.187571 |
| g | 0.105150 | 0.098861 | 0.094488 |
| r | 0.092232 | 0.088900 | 0.086441 |
| i | 0.053139 | 0.051446 | 0.049958 |
| z | 0.032213 | 0.031253 | 0.030502 |
| y | 0.053529 | 0.052816 | 0.052831 |

## Change in effective survey time

- Assuming $1 \times 30$ s visits in $u$ band, $2 \times 15$ s in other bands
- (Calculated per amp, then summed)
- Combine across filters using SRD expected ratio of visits per filter

|  | 13057 | 13040 | 13060 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| weighted teff 30u/2x15grizy | 0.999 | 1.020472 | 1.035452 |

- Assuming visit $=39$ s (on-sky + slew),

|  | 13057 | 13040 | 13060 |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Visit Time Ratio | 1.0 | 1.003649 | 1.007299 |

$1305713040 \quad 13060$
$\begin{array}{llll}\mathbf{u} & 1.0 & 1.076983 & 1.134202\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { g } & 1.0 & 1.039794 & 1.067662\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { r } & 1.0 & 1.021806 & 1.036678\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { i } & 1.0 & 1.016134 & 1.027044\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llll}z & 1.0 & 1.011191 & 1.018731\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llll}y & 1.0 & 1.008999 & 1.015048\end{array}$

## Effects

- Overall gain in effective time of 2-3\%

|  | 13057 | 13040 | 13060 |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| weighted teff 30u/2x15grizy | 0.999 | 1.020472 | 1.035452 |  |
|  | 13057 | $\mathbf{1 3 0 4 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 3 0 6 0}$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 1.003649 | 1.007299 |  |

- Simulations using baseline_v2.0_10yrs with updated read times to follow

